IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP NO.17382 of 2006

DATE OF DECISION: November 3, 2006

Parwinder Kaur

….Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab and others

…..Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINEY MITTAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. BHALLA

PRESENT: Shri Bachan Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Viney Mittal,J.(Oral).

Notice of motion to the respondents.

On the asking of Court, Shri Sukhdip Singh Brar, Additional Advocate General, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

Copies of the writ petition have been supplied to the learned counsel for the respondents.

The petitioner has approached this Court for issuance of certain directions qua the revised/modified/ enhanced extension fee etc. According to the petitioner, the said levy was totally illegal and not sustainable. In this regard, the petitioner has relied upon judgments dated May 4, 1999 passed in CWP No.13648 of 1998 (Tehal Singh v. State of Punjab and others) and dated October 31,

2002 passed in CWP No.18986 of 2001 (Sant Kaur Jabbi and another v. State of Punjab).

From the perusal of the record, we find that a legal notice dated August 10,2006 (Annexure P.12) has been issued by the petitioner, which remains unresponded.

In this view of the matter, without going into the merits of the claim made by the petitioner, we dispose of the present petition with a direction to the Estate Officer, PUDA, Mohali, respondent No.4 to take a final decision on the aforesaid legal notice (Annexure P.12) within a period of four months from the date a certified copy of this order is received, by passing a detailed and speaking order.

A copy of the order be given dasti on payment of usual charges.

(Viney Mittal)
Judge

November 3, 2006
KD

(H.S. Bhalla)
Judge